

ALTRUISM VS EGOISM: THE ETHICAL QUESTIONS

By

Theresa Ekemini Donatus

Department of Philosophy, Akwa Ibom State University, Nigeria
princessstessy61@gmail.com

Iniobong Daniel Umotong

Department of Philosophy, Akwa Ibom State University, Nigeria
iniobongumotong@aksu.edu.ng

ABSTRACT

This paper on “Altruism vs Egoism: The Ethical Questions”, tends to explore the motivations behind human actions as it is a fundamental discourse in ethical philosophy. Altruism is an ethical concept that advocates a selfless concern for the welfare of others. In contrast, Egoism prioritizes self-interest. The philosophical inquiry since antiquity in reshaping ethical theories and moral practices across culture to comprehensively address the complexities in human society paved the way for the development of Altruism and Egoism as ethical concepts to help in complementing human motivations. Though no theory is without criticism, the prominent philosophers of the theories and their counterparts will be brought to book. The significance of this study is to enhance our understanding of moral behavior and how Altruism can help us in making informed public policies and social governance by revealing how self-interest and concern for others shape collective actions. We will also see how the complementarity of both theories will contribute effectively to the development of ethical frameworks that balance personal and communal well-being to address contemporary global challenges such as Gender Equality and social inclusion (GESI) and Environmental Sustainability. This paper will adopt analytic and phenomenological research methods in critically analyzing the theories.

Key words: Altruism vs Egoism, motivation, self-interest, Ethical theory, GESI, Environmental sustainability.

INTRODUCTION

The ethical theories, Altruism and Egoism has a contrasting meaning, though of each of the theories offers unique perspectives on moral behavior and societal values. While Altruism advocates selfless concern for the well-being of others, it prioritizes the needs and interests of others above self's needs. But in the other hand, Egoism emphasizes “self-interest” as the foundational motive behind human actions, asserting that individuals are inherently driven by their personal gains and advantages.

This paper will access the implication of Altruism and Egoism in addressing contemporary global challenges, particularly focusing on Gender Equality and Social Inclusion

(GESI) and Environmental Sustainability. These pressing issues underscore the ethical choices and societal frameworks that shape our collective future.

Gender Equality and Social Inclusion (GESI) represent a critical frontier in societal progress, demanding equitable treatment and opportunities for all individuals regardless of gender identity, socioeconomic status, or cultural background. Altruism, with its emphasis on empathy and fairness, offers a moral framework conducive to dismantling systemic barriers and promoting inclusive policies. By prioritizing the welfare of marginalized groups and advocating for their rights, altruistic perspectives strive towards a more just and equitable society where all individuals can thrive. At the other hand, Egoism criticizes to a greater extent how self-interest can impacts the broader goals of GESI. Because Egoism will argue that individual empowerment and economic incentives are essential drivers of societal progress, potentially highlighting the role of personal ambition in achieving gender parity and social inclusion. However, criticisms arise regarding the potential perpetuation of inequalities and the prioritization of short-term gains over long-term societal benefits.

Environmental Sustainability presents another urgent global challenge, underscoring the need for ethical frameworks that prioritize the conservation of natural resources and the mitigation of environmental degradation. Altruism shines as a guiding principle in environmental ethics, advocating for stewardship and responsibility towards future generations and the planet as a whole. By promoting collective action and environmental justice, altruistic perspectives foster policies and behaviors that mitigate climate change, preserve biodiversity, and ensure sustainable development.

In contrast, egoism may approach environmental sustainability through the lens of self-preservation and economic pragmatism, emphasizing the role of innovation and market mechanisms in balancing environmental protection with economic growth. Critics caution, however, that unchecked self-interest can lead to exploitation of natural resources and ecological harm, undermining long-term sustainability goals.

For the purpose of this work, we will analyze how the ethical theories of altruism and egoism, with their contrasting meanings, will complement each other in addressing contemporary global challenges such as Gender Equality and Social Inclusion (GESI) and Environmental Sustainability. While altruism underscores the importance of empathy, fairness, and collective responsibility in shaping equitable societies and sustainable futures, egoism challenges the balance between self-interest and societal well-being. A critical analysis of these theories reveals their significance in navigating complex ethical dilemmas and shaping policies that promote justice, equality, and environmental stewardship on a global scale.

BRIEF HISTORICAL OVERVIEW OF ALTRUISM VS EGOISM

The ethical theories “altruism and egoism” evolved from ancient philosophical inquiries to encompass diverse cultural, religious, psychological, and scientific perspectives. Altruism underscores the moral imperative of empathy, compassion, and collective responsibility, while egoism challenges the balance between individual self-interest and the broader welfare of society. The ongoing dialogue between these two ethical paradigms continues to shape our understanding of human behavior, moral reasoning, and the pursuit of ethical principles in contemporary societies.

The historical discourse between altruism and egoism spans through centuries, reflecting fundamental debates about human nature, morality, and the foundations of ethical behavior.

These two philosophical concepts have evolved through diverse cultural and intellectual traditions, shaping perspectives on individual motivation and societal values.

Ancient Era

The Ethical Theories, Altruism and Egoism can be traced back to ancient civilizations. The ancient Greece, philosophers such as Plato and Aristotle talked about the nature of virtue and the role of self-interest versus altruistic virtues in human conduct.

Plato's idealism emphasized on the pursuit of the common good and altruistic behavior as essential components of a just society. Conversely, Aristotle's Ethical Theory, while acknowledging the importance of friendship and altruistic actions, also posited the cultivation of personal virtues for individual flourishing.

Ethical Virtue and the Common Good: In his work on Nicomachean Ethics, Aristotle defined ethics as the study of how individuals should best live, with the sole aim of flourishing (flourishing means eudaimonia). He argued that ethical virtue involves finding the mean between extremes, promoting harmony and contributing to the common good, and Aristotle's emphasis on excellence (arete) and moral virtues like courage and justice aims at cultivating qualities that benefit both the individual and society.

According to him: "Every art and every inquiry, and similarly every action and pursuit, is thought to aim at some good; and for these reasons the good has rightly been declared to be that at which all things aim" (1-3).

Friendship and Altruism: Aristotle saw friendship as sacrificial; to him, friendship is as essential to moral development and social cohesion, where genuine friendships are based on mutual goodwill and the desire for the other's well-being. He said Friendship is characterized by altruistic concern; that human relationships are integral to achieving the common good: "Without friends no one would choose to live, though he had all other goods" (5-6).

In Plato's Idealism, his concept of Justice and the Common Good in The Republic posits that individuals find happiness in the pursuit of wisdom and justice, which are essential for maintaining a just society, Plato argued that each person fulfilling their societal role contributes to the harmony and well-being of the community, emphasizing the common good over individual interests. "Justice means minding one's own business and not meddling with other men's concerns"(433).

Plato's Philosopher-King and Altruism: in The Republic. Plato's ideal state was to be governed by a philosopher king who is altruistically motivated by knowledge and wisdom rather than personal gain. To Plato, the philosopher-king's rulership is guided by a deep understanding of the forms of justice and the common good, aiming to lead the state towards true justice and harmony. According to Plato:

"Until philosophers rule as kings or those who are now called kings and leading men genuinely and adequately philosophize, that is, until political power and philosophy entirely coincide, while the many natures who at present pursue either one exclusively are forcibly prevented from doing so, cities will have no rest from evils" (473).

His concept of Community ownership of Property and Unity, Plato in his "The Republic" advocated for a community of property among the guardians, eliminating private ownership of properties to minimize selfishness and promote unity. This communal approach according to him would have foster a sense of shared responsibility and altruistic behavior towards others in the

community, ensuring that all members work for the collective good. To Plato, "Until our philosophers become kings, or until those we now call kings and rulers really and truly become philosophers, and political power and philosophy thus come into the same hands, cities will never have rest from their evils" (416)

To this end, we have seen how the ancient philosophers, Aristotle and Plato pre-conceived the theories of Altruism and egoism laying emphasis on the pursuit of the common good, which requires individuals to transcend personal interests and act in ways that benefit the whole society.

Medieval/ Moral Foundations

In the medieval period, morality teachings significantly influenced the development of Altruism and Egoism theories. Religious doctrines, such as those found in Christianity, Islam, Buddhism, and Hinduism, often advocate for altruistic principles such as compassion, charity, and selflessness as pathways to spiritual fulfillment and moral righteousness.

Enlightenment and Rationalism: During the Enlightenment period in Europe (17th to 19th centuries), philosophers like Thomas Hobbes and Jeremy Bentham brought forth rationalist perspectives that challenged traditional notions of altruism rooted in religious beliefs. Hobbes, known for his social contract theory, posited that individuals are fundamentally motivated by self-interest and the desire to avoid suffering. His view laid the groundwork for egoistic theories that emphasize personal utility and rational calculation as primary drivers of human behavior.

Jeremy Bentham, who coined **Utilitarianism**, but was later refined by John Stuart Mill, represents a consequentialist ethical framework that evaluates actions based on their outcomes in terms of maximizing happiness or utility. While utilitarianism promotes the idea of promoting the greatest good for the greatest number, it also incorporates elements of egoistic calculation by considering individual preferences and interests within the broader calculus of societal welfare.

Modern Psychological and Sociological Perspectives: In the 20th century, advances in psychology and sociology contributed to nuanced understandings of altruism and egoism. Psychologists such as Sigmund Freud explored the unconscious motives underlying human behavior, suggesting that even seemingly altruistic actions may be driven by unconscious egoistic desires for gratification or recognition. Social psychologists, such as Solomon Asch and Stanley Milgram, conducted experiments that shed light on the complexities of human social behavior and the interplay between self-interest and altruistic norms.

Contemporary Debates and Applications: In contemporary philosophy and ethics, the debate between altruism and egoism continues to inform discussions on moral responsibility, justice, and societal well-being. Contemporary thinkers explore how altruistic virtues can be reconciled with individual rights and freedoms, especially in contexts such as healthcare ethics, environmental stewardship, and global justice initiatives. The rise of evolutionary psychology and game theory has also spurred discussions on the evolutionary origins of altruism and its adaptive advantages in promoting cooperation and social cohesion.

COMPARISON OF ALTRUISM AND EGOISM

Several philosophers have criticized the prevailing theories of knowledge, offering various insights that challenge the traditional epistemological frameworks, while others complement both theories. For instance, in Altruism;

David Hume, in his work *An Enquiry Concerning the Principles of Morals* emphasized the role of sympathy and benevolence in human nature and moral judgment, according to him: "A generous and noble action, a resolved and courageous suffering, a tender and affectionate sentiment, make their way to the heart by a natural and easy passage" (265). For Egoism, an ethical theory that prioritizes self-interest as the foundation of moral behavior.

Thomas Hobbes in *"Leviathan"* part 1 chapter 14, argued that self-interest drives human actions and is the basis for social contracts. To him: "No man gives, but with intention of good to himself; because gift is voluntary; and of all voluntary acts, the object is to every man his own good." (88)

Ayn Rand in her work *"The Virtue of Selfishness"* developed the philosophy of Objectivism, advocating rational self-interest as the basic ethical standard. "The achievement of his own happiness is man's highest moral purpose." (*The Virtue of Selfishness*, 27)

PROBLEMS THAT NECESSITATED ALTRUISTIC ETHICAL THEORY

Altruism as an ethical theory arose in response to several complex problems and concerns that challenge traditional ethical frameworks. Below are some of the issues that necessitated the development of altruistic ethical theory:

1. **Issues of Self-Interest and Collective Welfare:** Traditional ethical theories like ethical egoism prioritize individual self-interest, which can lead to conflicts with the collective welfare. When everyone acts out of self-interest, the result can be detrimental to society as a whole. So, the theory of Altruism became necessary as it proposes that individuals have a moral obligation to act in ways that benefit others, even at a personal cost, thus promoting collective well-being over mere individual gain.
2. **Issues of Moral Motivation and Responsibility:** The question of what motivates ethical behavior and how individuals should be held accountable for their actions is a central issue. Many theories struggle to explain why individuals should act ethically beyond personal or societal benefits, which makes room for Altruism theory in order to address this by suggesting that moral actions should be motivated by concern for others, not just by external rewards or personal satisfaction.
3. **Ethical Dilemmas in Conflict Situations:** In cases of conflict, where one's own interests clash with the needs of others, conventional theories often fail to provide clear guidance. Here, Altruism theory becomes necessary to provide a framework for making ethical decisions in such scenarios by emphasizing self-sacrifice and prioritizing others' needs, which can lead to more morally consistent outcomes.
4. **Global Issues and Inequality:** Global challenges such as poverty, environmental degradation, and humanitarian crises often reveal the limitations of self-centered ethical approaches. These problems require collective action and concern for the well-being of people across the globe. Altruism as an ethical theory supports a global perspective on ethics, advocating for actions that address global inequalities and promote the well-being of all, regardless of geographical or social boundaries.
5. **Human Flourishing and Ethical Growth:** Many ethical theories focus on rules or outcomes but may not fully address the development of moral character or the deeper aspects of human flourishing. Altruistic ethical theory became necessary because it encourages the cultivation of virtues like compassion, empathy, and generosity, which contribute to personal growth and the flourishing of both individuals and society.

6. **Interpersonal Relationships and Trust:** Maintaining trust and positive relationships can be challenging in environments where individuals are primarily concerned with their own interests. By emphasizing selflessness and the well-being of others, altruism fosters trust and stronger interpersonal connections, which are essential for healthy, functioning communities.
7. **Empathy and Human Connection:** Many ethical theories lack emphasis on the emotional and empathetic dimensions of moral behavior, which are crucial for understanding and connecting with others. Altruism incorporates empathy as a core component, fostering a deeper emotional connection and understanding that drive ethical actions towards others.

ALTRUISM AS AN ETHICAL CONCEPT

Altruism is an act or a behavioral pattern of having the interest of others rather than oneself. It is a theory whose interest is not in self but in people's welfare. It is another version of Hedonism, Altruism as an ethical theory provides a response to these problems by emphasizing selflessness, empathy, and the moral obligation to consider and act upon the needs and well-being of others. This approach aims to address the limitations and challenges of other ethical frameworks, promoting a more comprehensive and humane approach to moral decision-making.

Auguste Comte, a French philosopher and sociologist, coined the term "Altruism" as he was the one who developed it as a foundational concept in his positivist philosophy and sociology. Auguste Comte in his book, *The Positive Philosophy*, coined the term "Altruism" to describe the ethical doctrine that individuals have a moral obligation/duty to act for the interest or benefits of others, promoting social solidarity and cohesion. Comte believed that altruism was crucial for the development of a harmonious society, where individuals prioritize the welfare of others alongside their own interests. This concept is integral to his positivist philosophy, which emphasizes the importance of social order and cooperation in advancing human progress.

"The essential basis of altruism is the direct control which the social point of view has over the self-regarding tendencies of each individual. We are led constantly to temper or even to overcome the primitive attraction of egoism, by the powerful influence of our social environment, in proportion as it becomes more special and intense." (101).

"To live for others is not only a rule of duty but of happiness." (Comte, "The System of Positive Polity", Vol. 1, p. 423). The Theory of Altruism stems from the broader positivist philosophy of Auguste Comte, which sought to establish a scientific basis for understanding of society and human behavior because he argued that altruism, as a moral principle, counters the individualistic tendencies of egoism and promotes social cohesion. In his work, *The Positive Philosophy*, Comte explained how altruism contributes to social stability by fostering mutual aid and cooperation among individuals within a particular community. He believed in society's progress for maintaining social order and moral advancement.

Comte's emphasis on Altruism as a foundational ethical principle influenced subsequent thinkers and continues to be relevant in discussions of ethics, sociology, and social policy today. His work laid the groundwork for understanding the dynamics of social relationships and the importance of collective well-being in the pursuit of a just and harmonious society.

PROBLEMS THAT NECESSITATED EGOISM

Egoism is an ethical theory that suggests individuals should act in their own self-interest, has emerged as a response to various problems and challenges in moral philosophy, below are some of the problems that necessitated the development of egoistic concepts:

1. **Issues of Conflicting Interests in Moral Decision-Making:** When individuals face moral decisions, their interests often conflict with those of others. Traditional ethical theories can struggle to resolve these conflicts in a way that accommodates the individual's desires. For instance, you are a bank manager deciding whether to promote one of your employees who is less qualified but who will help you achieve personal career goals, versus a more qualified employee who will benefit the company more broadly. Egoism theory would suggest that you should choose the option that aligns with your own interests and career advancement. In Ayn Rand's "The Virtue of Selfishness," She posits that acting in one's self-interest is not only rational but morally right and also emphasizes that pursuing one's own happiness and rational self-interest leads to the best outcomes for oneself and, by extension, can benefit society (Rand, 64).
2. **Issues of Motivation Behind Ethical Actions:** Some ethical theories assume that people are motivated by moral duties or external rewards, but this can overlook the fact that self-interest often drives human behavior. For example, someone can donate to charity primarily to gain social approval or tax benefits; traditional theories might question the sincerity of their moral action. Egoism, however, would recognize that the motivation to improve one's social standing or financial situation is a valid and rational basis for action.
3. **Practicality and Feasibility of Altruism:** Altruistic ethical theories can sometimes be impractical, especially when individuals are expected to consistently prioritize others' needs over their own. For instance, a situation where resources are limited, such as deciding whether to spend your savings on personal needs or donate to a charity, an altruistic approach may demand significant personal sacrifice. Egoism would argue that it is more practical and rational for individuals to focus on their own needs and well-being.
4. **Concerns over Ethical Relativism and Self-Determination:** Ethical relativism suggests that moral standards are culturally or individually based, which can lead to inconsistencies and conflicts. Egoism provides a framework for self-determination, where individuals can make ethical choices based on their own values and interests like different cultures having diverse beliefs about what is morally right, egoism allows individuals to prioritize their own values and interests in ethical decision-making, rather than adhering to potentially conflicting cultural norms.
5. **Resentment and Fairness in Social Interactions:** Traditional ethical theories can sometimes lead to feelings of resentment or unfairness, especially if individuals feel their own needs and desires are being ignored for the sake of others. Example, In a workplace setting, if one is expected to take on additional responsibilities for the benefit of colleagues without recognition or reward, Here Egoism theory argues that individuals should focus on their own needs and seek fair compensation for their efforts.
6. **Individual Rights and Autonomy:** Ethical theories that emphasize collective welfare can sometimes undermine individual rights and autonomy. Imagine a society that imposes certain moral obligations on individuals for the greater good, egoism theory becomes necessary here because it would argue for respecting personal autonomy and individual rights, suggesting that each person's own goals and desires should be central in ethical considerations.

EGOISM AS AN ETHICAL CONCEPT

By addressing these problems, egoism offers a perspective that prioritizes individual interests and autonomy, providing a framework that some find practical and coherent in navigating ethical challenges.

The term "Egoism" is credited to an English philosopher and theologian, Henry More (1614–1687), to contrast it with altruism and other ethical theories that prioritize the welfare of others. More introduced the term in his philosophical works to describe the ethical theory that emphasizes self-interest as the foundation of morality. He described the term "Egoism" to that which individual act primarily out of self-interest, seeking their own happiness and well-being above every other thing.

Henry More is credited with coining the term "egoism" to describe the ethical theory centered on self-interest as the primary motivator of human behavior, providing a distinct philosophical concept that contrasts with altruism and other moral theories. This allowed philosophers and ethicists to distinguish between different moral theories based on their underlying principles regarding human motivation and behavior. It remains a key concept in ethical philosophy, influencing discussions on morality, self-interest, and the nature of human actions.

Ephraim Essien defined the term "Ego" as usually associated with the self (29). It is an Ethical theory that posits that what matters in life is self, one's well-being. According to Blackburn's understanding, there are two forms of Egoism: psychological egoism and ethical egoism. To him, "Psychological egoism is the view that people are always motivated by self-interest. Ethical Egoism is the view that, whether or not people like this ought to be like this, usually this is advanced in the form that rational behavior requires an attempt to maximize self-interest" (115).

COMPLEMENTARITY OF ALTRUISM AND EGOISM

While Altruism and Egoism appear to be opposing each other, some philosophers came up with theories that help complement each other in fostering a balanced ethical perspective to show interdependence and Balance. Below are a few ways in which they intersect:

Through Reciprocal Altruism: this can be defined as an action that benefits others with the expectation of future reciprocation. Richard Dawkins, in his work "The Selfish Gene," he explained how altruistic behavior can arise from evolutionary processes driven by self-interest, such as kin selection and reciprocal altruism. "We are survival machines -robot vehicles blindly programmed to preserve the selfish molecules known as genes." (19). Through Ethical Egoism and Social Cooperation, here, Adam Smith in his work on "The Wealth of Nations" argues that individuals pursuing their self-interest can lead to societal benefits through the "invisible hand" of the market, suggesting a harmony between personal gain and social good. "It is not from the benevolence of the butcher, the brewer, or the baker that we expect our dinner, but from their regard to their own interest." (18).

Through Moral Psychology, Michael Slote, in his work on "The Ethics of Care and Empathy" emphasized that the role of empathy, a bridge between altruistic concern and understanding self-interest, in moral development. "Empathy, even in its partiality, can help ground a universalist morality." (The Ethics of Care and Empathy, 119).

To this end, we have seen that Altruism and Egoism, can't really function alone, it works hand in hand to complement each other to enrich ethical theory by providing a more comprehensive understanding of human motivation and behavior. The interplay between self-

interest and concern for others is essential in various aspects of life, from personal relationships to societal personal relationships to societal structures. By examining the thoughts of influential philosophers, we see that these concepts can coexist and even enhance each other in the pursuit of a balanced ethical framework.

IMPACTS OF COMPLEMENTARITY OF ALTRUISM AND EGOISM IN IMPLEMENTATION OF GENDER EQUALITY AND SOCIAL INCLUSION IN AFRICA

We discovered that the complementarity of ethical theories “Altruism and Egoism” can help in the implementation of Gender Equality and Social Inclusion in Africa by first examining how the ethical framework can be applied to these challenges using a strategy that will balance self-interest and collective welfare, ultimately fostering a more inclusive and equitable society.

1) Promoting Gender Equality Through Altruism

Altruism, with its emphasis on selfless concern for the well-being of others, can drive initiatives and policies aimed at reducing gender disparities and promoting inclusion. This approach encourages individuals and communities to prioritize the needs of marginalized groups, leading to more equitable outcomes.

- **Community Support and Solidarity:** Grassroots political organizations or women's groups that advocate for women's rights and provide support services such as education, healthcare, and legal assistance to vulnerable groups. The Altruistic actions performed by these organizations can empower women and enhance their participation in societal and economic activities, especially on political grounds, to reduce gender-based violence. Inclusive Policies and Legislation, for instance, Governor Umo Eno's Inclusion policy of 30percent women to be given an opportunity to serve as leaders in respective local government areas and even among the executives of the Government, such policies that mandate gender quotas in political representation and corporate leadership positions is known as Altruistic policymaking, it ensures that women and people living with disabilities have equal opportunities to participate in decision-making processes, thereby promoting gender equality and social inclusion.
- **Education and Awareness Campaigns:** Sensitization programs that are aimed at educating communities about the importance of Gender Equality and the harmful effects of social inclusion discrimination through altruistic initiatives can change societal attitudes and norms, fostering a culture of respect and equality.

Egoism Harnessing Self-Interest for Gender Equality

Egoism, which advocates self-interest, can also play a crucial role in advancing gender equality and social inclusion when some persons or organization recognizes promoting gender equality can align with their self-interests; they are more likely to support and implement inclusive practices. A balanced approach that integrates both altruistic and egoistic motivations can effectively address gender equality and social inclusion in Africa. Here are some ways in which these ethical theories complement each other through GESI.

- **Public-Private Partnerships:** For instance, Collaborations between governments, NGOs, and businesses to support gender equality programs. Such partnerships can leverage altruistic goals and self-interested incentives, pooling resources and expertise to create more impactful and sustainable initiatives.

- **Empowerment Programs: Here,** Training and mentorship programs for women and other vulnerable groups attributes altruistic support with opportunities for personal and professional growth, these programs can help those groups develop skills and confidence, benefiting both the individuals involved and the broader community by fostering a more skilled opportunities and diverse workforce.
- **Legislative and Economic Incentives,** Tax incentives for companies that promote gender diversity and inclusion aligns with the financial incentives of altruistic goals, policymakers can encourage businesses to adopt gender-inclusive practices, leading to broader societal benefits.
- **Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR):** Corporations and MDAs that support gender equality and social inclusion initiatives as part of their CSR strategies aligns their brands with values and market positioning, companies can enhance their reputation and build consumer loyalty.

IMPACTS OF ALTRUISM AND EGOISM IN HARNESSING THE CONTEMPORARY GLOBAL CHALLENGES OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY IN AFRICA

Altruism and egoism can also help in addressing the contemporary global challenges of environmental sustainability in Africa in the following ways

Altruism:

1. **Community Initiatives:** Altruistic individuals often initiate or participate in community-driven environmental projects such as Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH) management, reforestation, waste management, and conservation efforts. In Africa, this could involve initiatives like providing solared borehole, provision of toilet facilities, community gardens, clean-up campaigns like the monthly sanitation established by the Akwa Ibom State government, or sustainable farming practices such as Agroecology.
2. **Support for Vulnerable Groups:** Altruistic actions can target marginalised communities affected by environmental degradation, such as providing clean water access, sustainable energy solutions, or education on environmental stewardship. This can help mitigate the impacts of climate change and environmental destruction on marginalized populations.
3. **Advocacy and Awareness:** Altruistic individuals and organizations often engage in advocacy and awareness campaigns to educate the public and policymakers about sustainable practices and the importance of biodiversity conservation. This can lead to policy changes and increased public support for environmental protection measures.
4. **International Cooperation:** Altruism fosters international cooperation and aid, which can support African nations in adopting sustainable technologies, implementing green infrastructure projects, and enhancing resilience against climate change impacts.

Egoism (Enlightened Self-Interest):

1. **Economic Incentives:** Egoistic motivations can drive businesses and individuals to invest in sustainable technologies and practices that offer long-term economic benefits, such as reduced energy costs, improved resource efficiency, and access to new markets for eco-friendly products.
2. **Risk Mitigation:** Egoistic concerns about reputation and long-term stability can motivate governments and businesses to mitigate environmental risks, such as water scarcity or soil degradation, which could threaten economic activities and social stability.

3. **Innovation and Technology:** Egoistic interests in gaining competitive advantages can drive innovation in renewable energy, sustainable agriculture, and eco-friendly infrastructure. This innovation can benefit African nations by providing access to affordable and clean technologies.
4. **Long-Term Planning:** Egoism encourages long-term planning and investment in environmental sustainability to ensure the continuity of resources and stability of ecosystems, which are essential for economic growth and social well-being in the long run.

Synergies and Challenges:

- **Collaboration:** Both altruism and egoism can complement each other when individuals and organizations collaborate on sustainable projects that benefit communities and economies simultaneously.
- **Challenges:** Balancing altruistic goals with egoistic interests can be challenging, especially in resource-constrained environments where short-term economic gains may conflict with long-term environmental sustainability.

CONCLUSION

From the beginning we have noticed how the dynamic interplay between egoism and altruism and how it can profoundly influence decision-making in Africa. While egoism drives economic growth and innovation, altruism ensures social cohesion and sustainable development. The ethical theories of altruism and egoism offer valuable insights for addressing gender equality and social inclusion in Africa. Altruism encourages selfless actions that prioritize the welfare of marginalized groups, while egoism highlights the potential benefits of inclusive practices for individuals and organizations. So, seeking balancing, these ethical concepts is crucial in fostering a prosperous and equitable society in Africa. African societies can also develop effective strategies to implement Gender Equality and create a more inclusive and equitable future. By recognizing and integrating the complementary roles of egoism and altruism, African leaders and communities can navigate the complex challenges of development and create a more inclusive and sustainable future.

WORKS CITED

- Comte, Auguste. *The Positive Philosophy of Auguste Comte*. Translated by Harriet Martineau, 2 vols., John Chapman, 1853.
- More, Henry. *Ethica, or, An Attempt to Investigate the Original of Our Ideas of Virtue*. Andrew Millar, 1733.
- Bentham, Jeremy. "An Introduction to the Principles of Morals and Legislation." 1789.
- Boghossian, P. *Fear of Knowledge: Against Relativism and Constructivism*. Oxford University Press, 2006
- Dennis, Otto.(2017)"The Branches of Philosophy" in Iniubong Umotong & Dennis et al(ed).
- Freud, Sigmund. "Various works on psychoanalysis and human behavior."
- Hobbes, Thomas. "Leviathan." 1651.
- Mill, John Stuart. "Utilitarianism." 1863.
- Nietzsche, F. *The Gay Science*. Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- Nietzsche, F. *On the Genealogy of Morals*. Vintage Books, 1967.

Plato, Theaetetus. Trans. M. J. Levett. London: Hackett Publishing Co.,2009.
Rudiments of Philosophy and Logic, Robert Minder publishers, 2017. (67-69),
Stevenson, C.L. Ethics and Language. Yale University Press, 1944.
Stevenson, C.L. (1937). "The Emotive Meaning of Ethical Terms". *Mind*, 46(181), 14-31.
Williams, B. Ethics and the Limits of Philosophy. Harvard University Press, 1985.